

National Cross-Site Evaluation of

Juvenile Drug Courts and Reclaiming Futures

Southwest Institute for Research on Women (SIROW)

Cross-Site Report: Informal Training - July, 2015 Five Site Report

This report presents program evaluation findings of an integrated Juvenile Drug Court: Strategies in Practice (JDC) and Reclaiming Futures (RF) program implemented in five juvenile drug courts in the United States (i.e., the evaluation sites). These sites were awarded 4-year grants by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) and the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration (SAMHSA) to implement a JDC/RF program at their juvenile drug courts.

To assess the informal training regarding JDC and RF obtained by staff of JDC/RF programs, the staff at each evaluation site were surveyed monthly regarding their use of informal training resources (e.g. websites, other staff members) to obtain information about JDC and RF. For three of the five evaluation sites, staff were surveyed beginning in the 1st quarter (Q1) of the 3rd year (Y3) of their 4-year grant-funded period. For the other two evaluation sites, members were surveyed beginning in the 1st quarter (Q1) of the 4th year (Y4) of their 4-year grant-funded period.

The following tables present the average percentage of staff (of those staff who completed the informal training survey) who obtained informal training per month at each evaluation site. For example as indicated in the following table, on average per month during the 1st quarter (Q1) of the 3rd year (Y3) of their grant-funded period, 75% of the staff from Evaluation Site 1 reported obtaining informal training regarding JDC and RF.

Percent of staff who've obtained self-directed informal training regarding Reclaiming Futures (RF) and Juvenile Drug Court: Strategies in Practice (JDC) from ANY SOURCE

Evaluation Site	Model	Y3Q1	Y3Q2	Y3Q3	Y3Q4	Y4Q1	Y4Q2	Y4Q3	Y4Q4
City 1	RF	75%	73%	75%	79%	60%	56%	53%	39%
Site 1	JDC	76%	66%	61%	56%	37%	45%	40%	35%
City 2	RF	67%	73%	63%	59%	52%	58%	45%	32%
Site 2	JDC	57%	45%	46%	48%	44%	30%	25%	23%
Cito 2	RF	53%	43%	30%	30%	28%	24%	20%	12%
Site 3	JDC	49%	31%	17%	20%	26%	15%	14%	10%
Site 4	RF	1	_	1	ı	73%	56%	73%	48%
Site 4	JDC	1	_	1	1	69%	52%	57%	35%
Sito E	RF	1	_	1	ı	73%	66%	58%	49%
Site 5	JDC	1	_	1	1	55%	42%	39%	37%
Cross-Site Av-	RF	63%	60%	52%	51%	56%	52%	46%	35%
erage	JDC	58%	44%	38%	39%	44%	35%	31%	28%

- These data suggest that a substantial percentage of JDC/RF staff obtained self-directed informal training regarding the JDC and RF models from any source.
- The rates of JDC/RF staff obtaining informal training regarding the JDC and RF models from any source varied by evaluation site from as high as 79% to as low as 12% for training regarding the RF model and from as high as 76% to as low as 10% for training regarding the JDC model.
- Overall, more JDC/RF staff reported self-directed informal training for the RF model than for the JDC model.
- Overall across all evaluation sites, the percentage of staff who pursued informal training decreased over time. Training for the RF model decreased less (63% to 35%) than that of training for the JDC model (58% to 28%).

Disclaimer: The development of this report is funded by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) through an interagency agreement with the Library of Congress – contract number LCFRD11C0007. The views expressed here are the authors and do not necessarily represent the official policies of OJJDP or the Library of Congress; nor does mention of trade names, commercial practices, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Percent of staff who've obtained self-directed informal training regarding Reclaiming Futures (RF) and Juvenile Drug Court: Strategies in Practice (JDC) from <a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/jnc.1001/j

Evaluation Site	Model	Y3Q1	Y3Q2	Y3Q3	Y3Q4	Y4Q1	Y4Q2	Y4Q3	Y4Q4
Cite 1	RF	17%	31%	18%	23%	9%	10%	6%	7%
Site 1	JDC	6%	4%	18%	17%	0%	3%	0%	3%
Site 3	RF	6%	7%	3%	6%	6%	9%	2%	2%
Site 2	JDC	4%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	6%	4%
Site 3	RF	9%	9%	4%	6%	0%	6%	9%	8%
Site 5	JDC	7%	2%	0%	2%	2%	2%	0%	0%
Site 4	RF	ŀ	-		I	18%	37%	19%	18%
Site 4	JDC				-	13%	33%	26%	18%
Site 5	RF	ŀ	-		I	14%	13%	12%	8%
Site 5	JDC	-			-	4%	0%	5%	2%
Cross-Site	RF	10%	13%	7%	9%	8%	12%	8%	7%
Average	JDC	5%	3%	6%	5%	3%	5%	5%	4%

- Overall, a small percentage of staff at the JDC/RF evaluation sites obtained self-directed informal training regarding the JDC and RF models from the RF National Program Office and/or NCJFCJ.
- The rates of JDC/RF staff who obtained self-directed informal training regarding the JDC and RF models from the RF National Program Office and/or NCJFCJ varied by evaluation site and across time for both the RF model (37% to 0%) and for the JDC model (33% to 0%).
- Overall across JDC/RF evaluation site, the percentage of staff reporting the use of the RF National Program Office and/or NCJFCJ as an informal source of training varied over time from as high as 13% to as low as 7%.
- For those that accessed the RF National Program Office and/or NCJFCJ as an informal source of training, the most frequently reported incidence of use was 8 to 10 times per month. The least frequently reported was 1 time per month.

Percent of staff who've obtained self-directed informal training regarding Reclaiming Futures (RF) and Juvenile Drug Court: Strategies in Practice (JDC) from OTHERS AT THEIR JUVENILE DRUG COURT OR ORGANIZATION

Strategies in Fra	01100 (32 0) 11	<u> </u>	/\tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau \tau		<u> </u>	ANO AIRIEA	<u> </u>		
Evaluation Site	Model	Y3Q1	Y3Q2	Y3Q3	Y3Q4	Y4Q1	Y4Q2	Y4Q3	Y4Q4
Cito 1	RF	52%	62%	53%	57%	40%	45%	32%	36%
Site 1	JDC	68%	52%	51%	43%	31%	26%	31%	25%
Cito 2	RF	51%	44%	34%	41%	42%	35%	25%	15%
Site 2	JDC	31%	7%	17%	33%	25%	18%	9%	11%
Site 3	RF	33%	27%	18%	24%	22%	15%	18%	10%
Site 3	JDC	29%	22%	9%	15%	15%	15%	9%	8%
Site 4	RF					58%	52%	56%	48%
Site 4	JDC					53%	52%	57%	26%
Sito E	RF					53%	37%	16%	37%
Site 5	JDC					42%	28%	31%	31%
Cross-Site	RF	44%	40%	32%	37%	42%	35%	29%	26%
Average	JDC	39%	23%	22%	27%	31%	25%	23%	20%

- A substantial percentage of staff at the JDC/RF evaluation sites obtained self-directed informal training regarding the JDC and RF models from others at their juvenile drug court or organization.
- The rates of JDC/RF staff who pursued informal training regarding the JDC and RF models from others at their juvenile drug court or organization varied by evaluation site and across time for both the RF model (62% to 10%) and for the JDC model (68% to 7%).
- Across time, the percentage of JDC/RF staff who reported utilizing others at their JDC or organization as an informal source of training regarding the JDC and RF models decreased over time, from 44% to 26% for the RF model and from 39% to 20% for the JDC model.
- JDC/RF staff reported utilizing others in their juvenile drug court or organization for informal training regarding the JDC and RF models between 1 and 4 times per month on average.

Percent of staff v	vho've obta	ined self-di	rected informa	al training re	egarding Re	claiming Futu	res (RF) and J	uvenile Drug	Court:
Strategies in Prac	ctice (JDC) f	rom PEOPLE	AT OTHER JD	C/RF SITES					
Evaluation Site	Model	Y3Q1	Y3Q2	Y3Q3	Y3Q4	Y4Q1	Y4Q2	Y4Q3	Y4Q4
Sito 1	RF	20%	31%	22%	30%	11%	10%	9%	3%
Site 1	JDC	21%	23%	35%	26%	0%	11%	10%	3%
Site 2	RF	0%	18%	20%	10%	12%	9%	6%	2%
Site 2	JDC	15%	14%	14%	18%	21%	8%	4%	4%
Site 2	RF	8%	6%	0%	8%	4%	8%	7%	3%
Site 3	JDC	17%	9%	6%	6%	4%	6%	7%	3%
Site A	RF					13%	33%	19%	18%
Site 4	JDC					8%	39%	31%	18%
ov. =	RF					16%	16%	14%	17%
Site 5	JDC					20%	12%	24%	21%
Cross-Site	RF	8%	16%	12%	13%	11%	13%	10%	8%

• The rates of staff that pursued informal training regarding the JDC and RF models from people at other JDC/RF sites varied by evaluation site for both the RF model (33% to 0%) and for the JDC model (39% to 0%).

14%

12%

16%

12%

13%

10%

JDC

17%

14%

Average

- At Evaluation Sites 1, 2, and 3, the rates of JDC/RF staff members obtaining informal training from people at other JDC/RF sites decreased over time, while the rates at Evaluation Sites 4 and 5 increased over time for both JDC and RF models.
- Overall across JDC/RF evaluation site, the percentage of staff reporting utilizing people at other JDC/RF sites as an informal source of training generally decreased over time from as high as 17% to as low as 10%.
- Staff reported utilizing people at other JDC/RF sites as a resource, on average, between 1 and 6 times per month.

Percent of staff v Strategies in Prac								luvenile Drug	g Court:
Evaluation Site	Model	Y3Q1	Y3Q2	Y3Q3	Y3Q4	Y4Q1	Y4Q2	Y4Q3	Y4Q4
	RF	45%	54%	54%	52%	20%	28%	24%	7%
Site 1	JDC	34%	38%	32%	39%	14%	24%	9%	3%
Site 2	RF	55%	61%	46%	39%	31%	35%	23%	26%
Site 2	JDC	46%	25%	32%	33%	23%	27%	23%	19%
Site 3	RF	30%	22%	13%	16%	2%	13%	14%	8%
Site 5	JDC	15%	20%	9%	10%	7%	7%	9%	10%
Site 4	RF	-	-		-	41%	33%	43%	18%
	JDC	-	-		-	29%	39%	38%	35%
Sito E	RF	-	-		-	50%	41%	29%	33%
Site 5	JDC				-	25%	30%	26%	24%
Cross-Site	RF	43%	43%	34%	32%	29%	30%	24%	20%
Average	JDC	35%	26%	22%	25%	19%	24%	19%	17%

- These data suggest that a substantial percentage of JDC/RF staff obtained self-directed informal training regarding the JDC and RF models from printed materials.
- The rates of JDC/RF staff who utilized printed materials varied by evaluation site for both the RF model (61% to 2%) and the JDC model (46% to 3%).
- Overall across JDC/RF evaluation site, the percentage of JDC/RF staff who reported utilizing printed materials to obtain information regarding the JDC and RF models generally decreased over time from 43% to 20% for the RF model and from 35% to 17% for the JDC model.
- JDC/RF staff reported utilizing printed materials as a resource, on average, between 1 and 2.5 times per month.

Strategies in Practice (IDC) from VISITING REAND IDC INFORMATIONAL WERSITES	Percent of staff who've obtained self-directed informal training regarding Recla	aiming Futures (RF) and Ju	venile Drug Co	ourt:
Strategies in Fractice (100) from Visiting it AND 100 in Oktobritonal WEDSITES	Strategies in Practice (JDC) from <u>VISITING RF AND JDC INFORMATIONAL WEBSI</u>	<u>TES</u>		

Evaluation Site	Model	Y3Q1	Y3Q2	Y3Q3	Y3Q4	Y4Q1	Y4Q2	Y4Q3	Y4Q4
City 1	RF	42%	55%	58%	52%	31%	33%	31%	33%
Site 1	JDC	20%	21%	33%	17%	3%	13%	3%	0%
City 2	RF	41%	32%	43%	32%	27%	40%	36%	17%
Site 2	JDC	8%	2%	11%	19%	17%	20%	17%	13%
Site 3	RF	30%	27%	26%	18%	13%	15%	18%	8%
Site 5	JDC	18%	7%	2%	10%	11%	7%	11%	7%
Site 4	RF					43%	41%	43%	31%
Site 4	JDC					38%	33%	19%	27%
Site 5	RF					46%	61%	49%	39%
Site 5	JDC					15%	21%	25%	17%
Cross-Site	RF	37%	35%	39%	30%	34%	38%	34%	25%
Average	JDC	15%	8%	13%	15%	14%	17%	14%	12%

- The rates of JDC/RF staff obtaining self-directed informal training from visiting JDC and RF websites varied by evaluation site from as high as 61% to as low as 8% for training regarding the RF model and from as high as 38% to as low as 0% for training regarding the JDC model.
- Overall across evaluation site, more JDC/RF staff reported visiting websites for informal training regarding the RF model than regarding the JDC model.
- Overall, the number of JDC/RF staff utilizing websites for information regarding the RF and JDC models varied across time.
- JDC/RF staff reported utilizing websites for RF and JDC information from 1 to 3 times on average per month.

Percent of staff who've obtained self-directed informal training regarding Reclaiming Futures (RF) and Juvenile Drug Court:
Strategies in Practice (JDC) from <u>ANOTHER SOURCE OF SELF-DIRECTED TRAINING</u>

Evaluation Site	Model	Y3Q1	Y3Q2	Y3Q3	Y3Q4	Y4Q1	Y4Q2	Y4Q3	Y4Q4
Site 1	RF	3%	21%	0%	18%	6%	16%	12%	3%
Site 1	JDC	10%	21%	18%	17%	6%	7%	7%	0%
City 2	RF	49%	48%	26%	76%	70%	73%	32%	32%
Site 2	JDC	6%	9%	9%	22%	21%	19%	15%	38%
Site 3	RF	9%	7%	7%	10%	4%	11%	4%	0%
3112 3	JDC	14%	9%	2%	8%	4%	9%	4%	0%
Site 4	RF		1	1	1	20%	33%	19%	18%
Site 4	JDC		-			21%	28%	26%	18%
	RF		-	1	1	27%	16%	19%	23%
Site 5	JDC		-	-	1	18%	17%	15%	14%
Cross-Site	RF	10%	13%	6%	18%	16%	19%	12%	12%
Average	JDC	10%	12%	8%	16%	16%	14%	12%	9%

- The rates of JDC/RF staff obtaining informal training from another source of self-directed training varied across time and across evaluation site from as high as 76% to as low as 0% for training regarding the RF model and from as high as 38% to as low as 0% for training regarding the JDC model.
- Overall across time, the percentage of staff utilizing another source of self-directed training remained fairly consistent for both RF and JDC models.
- JDC/RF staff members reported utilizing another source of self-directed training regarding JDC and RF between 1 and 4 times on average per month.

Findings Summary

- These data suggest that a substantial percentage of staff of JDC/RF programs obtained self-directed informal training regarding the JDC and RF models during the last two years of a 4-year grant-funded JDC/RF project period. Thus, JDC/RF staff are self-motivated to pursue program-specific training even after years of program implementation and, consequently, having already gained first-hand knowledge and familiarity with the models and their implementation. The RF NPO and NCJFCJ as well as OJJDP and SAMHSA could capitalize on this motivation by providing more informal training resources. In addition, individual juvenile drug courts could capitalize on this self-motivation by providing staff time during their standard work week during which to obtain informal training.
- In addition, the data suggest that, overall, more JDC/RF staff reported self-directed informal training for the RF model than for the JDC model. This finding seems to reflect JDC/RF staff's familiarity with the RF model relative to their familiarity with the JDC model. All of the juvenile drug courts at the evaluation sites had been utilizing the JDC model prior to their OJJDP and SAMHSA grant-funded project period, but almost all were newly implementing the RF model with their OJJDP and SAMHSA grant-funded project.
- Some sources of the self-directed informal training regarding the JDC and RF models obtained by JDC/RF staff were utilized more than others suggesting that efforts to encourage self-directed informal training might be more efficient if directed at certain sources of this training more than others.
 - Overall, JDC/RF staff most commonly reported obtaining informal training regarding the RF and JDC models from others at their juvenile drug court or organization.
 - Overall, JDC/RF least commonly reported obtaining informal training regarding the RF and JDC models from the RF National Program Office (NPO) and/or from the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges (NCJFCJ). This findings, however, does not indicate that JDC/RF staff are not getting training from the RF NPO and NCJFCJ as most of the training provided by the RF NPO and NCJFCJ is formal, structured training as opposed to informal training.

Questions: Contact Monica Davis, Evaluation Coordinator at 520-295-9339 x211 or midavis@email.arizona.edu

Acknowledgements: SIROW wishes to acknowledge the contributions of the five evaluation sites and the evaluation partners, Chestnut Health Systems and Carnevale Associates, LLC to this National Cross-Site Evaluation. In addition, SIROW is appreciative of support from the Library of Congress - Federal Research Division, OJJDP, the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, and the Reclaiming Futures National Program Office.

Suggested Citation: University of Arizona - Southwest Institute for Research on Women (2015). National Cross-Site Evaluation of Juvenile Drug Courts and Reclaiming Futures: Cross-Site Report: Informal Training - July, 2015 - Five Site Report. The University of Arizona: Tucson, Arizona.